

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,543	09/17/2001	Frank A. Barbosa	ORTIZ-1001	3149
7590 02/18/2005			EXAMINER	
KERMIT D. LOPEZ/LUIS M. ORTIZ			RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
ORTIZ & LOPEZ, PLLC/ PATENR ATTORNEYS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 4484			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
AT DITOTICE C	TITE NIM 97106-4494		2643	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/955,543	BARBOSA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Oc	ctober 2004.				
<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-20,22-35 and 37-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10-20,22-34,41 and 42 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 35 and 37-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/955,543

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 35, 38-40, are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Schanz (US PAT: 6,064,968, filed 8-25-1998).

Regarding claim 35, Schanz discloses a method of conducting legal investigation using a handheld data management device, comprising: starting a legal investigation program using a handheld data management device (col. 9 lines 26-29) to access a legal investigation program adaptive to include investigative requirements including rules and laws applicable to jurisdiction (col. 2 lines 29-45), identifying the subject matter for the legal investigation, obtaining guidance through handheld data management device regarding performing a compliant legal investigation (col. 13 lines 17-29, 54-64, and figs. 3-9).

Regarding claim 38, Schanz discloses a method of conducting legal investigation utilizing data provided remotely from handheld data management devices, comprising: receiving investigation data associated with a legal investigation provided wirelessly from at least one remote handheld data management device (col. 9 lines 26-43), analyzing the investigation data, rendering analysis results, and transmitting results to

Application/Control Number: 09/955,543

Art Unit: 2643

the remote handheld data management device (reads on 11, fig. 1, col. 11 lines 19-29 and figs. 3-9).

Regarding claims 39-40, Schanz further teaches the following: rendering analysis results further comprises providing legal investigation shortfall information to remote handheld data management device associated with shortfall (fig. 9), rendering analysis results comprises updating and formatting the factual data for representation and distribution to plurals case workers (col. 9 lines 34-43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schanz in view of Montlick (US PAT: 5,561,446).

Regarding claim 37, Schanz teaches the following: collecting data at the jurisdiction in accordance with the guidance (fig. 10B, col. 12, line 67 –col. 13, line 13); but he does not teach the following: entering the factual data into data management device, and wirelessly communicating the data entered into the handheld device to a remote resource for analysis.

However, Montlick teaches the following: entering the factual data into data management device, and wirelessly communicating the data entered into the handheld device to a remote resource for analysis (see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Schanz's system to provide for the following: entering the factual data into data management device, and wirelessly communicating the data entered into the handheld device to a remote resource for analysis as this arrangement would provide means for entering data remotely and sending it to a remote computer for further processing as taught by Montlick, thus providing user convenience to process results using remote computer which can be accessed by many users.

5. Claims 1-8, 10-20, 22-30, 33-34, 41-42 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35, 37, 38-40 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/955,543 Page 5

Art Unit: 2643

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (703) 305-1461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00; every other F Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703)305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643